PERCEPTION OF FARMERS ON THE USE OF PESTICIDES AND FERTILIZERS ON LOCALLY GROWN CROPS IN AKPABUYO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA

Effiong, J. B. and Aboh, C. L.

Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Wildlife Resources Management University of Calabar, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria Corresponding author: caraboh@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The study assessed farmers' perception of the use of pesticides and fertilizers on locally grown crops in Akpabuyo Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study described the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents in the study area, identified sources and types of pesticides and fertilizers used on locally grown crops, assessed farmers' perception of the use of pesticides and fertilizers for the production of locally grown crops and ascertained factors affecting the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the production of locally grown crops.A sample size of 90 respondents were selected through multistage sampling procedure from crop farmers in Akpabuyo Local Government Area. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean scores and rank were used for data analysis. Result of the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents revealed that majority were aged between (45-54) years (27.78%). The result, also showed that NPK, compost manure and poultry droppings ranked $(1^{\text{st}}, \bar{X} = 4.11), (2^{\text{nd}}, \bar{X} = 3.88) \text{ and } (3^{\text{rd}}, \bar{X} = 3.84)$ respectively as the major fertilizers available for locally grown crops. The results of the perception of farmers on the use of pesticides and fertilizers revealed that agrochemicals were beneficial for increased yield (1st, \bar{X} = 386) and extremely expensive $(2^{\text{nd}}, \overline{X} = 3.76)$. The result on factors affecting the use of pesticides and fertilizers revealed that environmental pollution was the major adverse effect of agro-chemicals (1st, \bar{X} = 4.52) followed by killing of non-target organisms (2nd, $\bar{X} = 3.95$). The study concluded that major pesticides used by respondents were herbicides, insecticides/ bactericides and that over application causes water pollutionand kill non-target organisms.

Key words: Perception, farmers, pesticides, fertilizers, locally grown crops and Akpabuyo.

INTRODUCTION

Agro-chemicals (pesticides and fertilizers) are chemical substances that are utilized in agriculture to manage, destroy, attack, repel and control pests, diseases, pathogens, parasites and weeds in order to improve agricultural productivity and food security thereby eliminating hidden hunger menace, malnutrition and malnourishment. (Carolyn, 2013; Jeony and Forster, 2003; Effiong and Effiong, 2015).

Pesticides technically are substances meant to control pests and weeds which include but not limited to the following herbicide, insecticide, nermaticide, avicide, molluscide, termiticide, rodenticide, bactericide, insect repellant, animal repellant, fungicide, sanitizer, disinfectant and growth regulators (Adetunji, 2010; Effiong and Asikong, 2013). Pest and disease infestations are common occurrences in agricultural production hence crop's productivity for human consumption is at high risk due to incidence of pests and diseases leading to crop losses and low yield/productivity; however, this can be reduced by crop protection measures through the use of synthetic pesticides which has become the most familiar way to minimize potential crop yield loss.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted out in Akpabuyo Local Government Area of Calabar agro-ecological zone of Cross River State with headquarters at IkotNakanda. Akpabuyo is an agrarian area measuring approximately 28.5km² with an estimated population of 360,000 people (NPC, 2006) and is popularly referred to as "Food Basket" of Cross River State. Akpabuyo has 10 council wards the major languages spoken are Efik and English while major ethnic groups are the Efiks, the Ouas and Efuts, they share common cultural and ancestral heritage. Akpabuyo is a major producer of cassava, cocoyam, kolanut, coconut, palm among many others.(Effiong and Asikong, 2013). The land is rich in mineral deposits, and in commercial quantities. A multistage sampling technique was used to select the respondents. At first stage, purposive sampling technique was used to select Akpabuyo as a block. This was because, Akpabuyois predominantly an agrarian society. The second stage was a random selection of six cells from the block. In the third stage, 15 respondents were randomly selected from each of the selected cells. This produced a sample size of 90 respondents. Data collection was done with the aid of an interview schedule during the 2017 cropping season. Data were presented using percentage, frequency, ranking and means scores.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results in Table 1, showed that majority of the respondents (66.67%) were males, while (33.33%) were females. (44.44%) had tertiary education,

(77.78%) were engaged in mixed farming as major type of occupation, (38.89%) had farming experience of 31-40 years, (54.44%) cultivated 1-2 hectares of farmland, (61.11%) accessed land by inheritance while (33.33%) earned between N51,000-N80,000 per annum. This result corroborates the findings of Effiong and Effiong (2015)who reported that majority of the farmers are engaged in mixed farming as a major type of occupation in AkwaIbom State, Nigeria.

Results in Table 2 revealed that herbicides, insecticides and bactericides were ranked (1st, \bar{X} = 3.90), $(2^{\text{nd}}, \bar{X} = 3.85)$ and $(3^{\text{rd}}, \bar{X} = 3.70)$ respectively as the major type of pesticide available for locally grown crops in the study area, while NKP, compost manure and poultry droppings ranked (1st, $\bar{X} = 4.11$), $(2^{\text{nd}}, \overline{X} = 3.88)$ and $(3^{\text{rd}}, \overline{\overline{X}} = 3.84)$ respectively as the major source of fertilizers available for locally grown crops. This result corroborates with the findings of Carolyn, 2013; Jeony and Forster, 2003; William and Wise, 2006; Effiong and Effiong, 2015); Effiong, Ijioma, Effiong, (2016) who asserted that application of pesticides and fertilizers increases agricultural productivity and food security hence minimizing hidden hunger among farmers in the study area. This is so because pesticides and fertilizers are major inputs in agricultural business enterprise.

On the perception of farmers towards the use of pesticides and fertilizers for locally grown crops as shown in table 3, the result revealed that agrochemicals are beneficial for increased crop yield/ (\bar{X} = 3.86) but are extremely expensive (\bar{X} = 3.76); it also showed that excessive application of pesticides and fertilizers causes water pollution ($\bar{X} = 4.25$) Also, the results revealed that small proportion of farmers were of the opinion that the use of pesticides and fertilizers will lead to reduction in crop yield 7^{th} ($\bar{X} = 1.00$). Air pollution 6^{th} ($\bar{X} = 1.52$) and harm to other farmers 5^{th} $(\bar{X} = 2.61)$. Furthermore, the results revealed that most farmers used recommended quantity of pesticides and fertilizers (1st, $\bar{X} = 4.06$) used more than recommended quantity $(2^{nd}, \bar{X} = 2.76)$ and used less than recommended quantity (3rd, $\bar{X} = 2.50$). This result corroborates with the findings of (Effiong and Asikong, 2013) who reported that crop yield and farm productivity will be increased when farmers use recommended quantity of pesticides and fertilizers on crops. Factors affecting use of pesticides and fertilizers in the production of locally grown crops, Table 4, revealed that environmental pollution, killing of nontarget organisms and reduction of crop yield due to over-accumulation/application ranked (1st, $\bar{X} = 4.52$), $(2^{\text{nd}}, \overline{X} = 3.95)$ and $(3^{\text{rd}}, \overline{X} = 2.51)$ respectively in the study area.

Table 1: Distribution of respondents according to their Socio-economic characteristics

Variables	Frequency	Percentage	
Gender			
Male	60	66.67	
Female	30	33.33	
Age (Years)			
15-24	10	11.11	
25-34	15	16.67	
35-44	20	22.22	
45-54	25	27.78	
≥55	20	22.22	
Level of education			
No formal education	4	4.44	
Primary	11	12.22	
Secondary	35	38.89	
Tertiary	40	44.44	
Types of occupation			
Mixed farming	70	77.78	
Trading	15	16.67	
Civil servant	5	5.56	
Farming experience			
2-10	4	4.44	
11-20	6	6.67	

21-30 31-40 40 and above	25 35 20	27.78 38.89 22.22
Farm size (in hectares)		
1-2	49	54.44
4-5	19	21.11
6-8	15	16.67
10 and above	7	7.78
Household size		
2-4	25	27.78
4-5	40	44.44
6-8	20	22.22
10 and above	5	5.56
Income level		
20,000-40,000	24	26.67
41,000-50,000	25	27.78
51,000-80,000	30	33.33
81,000-100,000	11	12.22
System of Land	d	
ownership	15	16.67
Purchase	55	61.11
Inheritance	15	16.67
Leasehold	5	5.56
Free gift/communal		

Source: Field survey, 2017.

Table 2: Sources and types of pesticides and fertilizers for locally grown crops

Sources of pesticide and fertilizer	A	0	S	Ň	Mean	SD	Rank
for locally grown crops	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(\overline{x})		
Organic sources	60.0	23.5	10.6	5.9	3.95	0.38	2^{nd}
Inorganic sources	63.0	20.0	9.4	7.6	4.05	0.35	1 st
Types of pesticides available for							
locally grown crops							
Herbicides	40.0	35.2	22.4	2.4	3.90	0.41	1 st
Fungicides	28.2	33.0	25.8	13.0	3.35	0.71	4^{th}
Nematicides	63.6	63.5	8.2	4.7	2.10	0.75	5^{th}
Insecticides	17.0	20.5	34.1	28.4	3.85	0.62	2^{nd}
Rodenticides	16.5	22.1	27.3	34.1	3.70	0.5	$3^{\rm rd}$
Bactericides	8.2	10.6	64.7	16.5	1.67	0.48	7^{th}
Botanicals (plants extracts pesticides)	7.1	12.9	44.7	35.3	1.79	0.31	6 th
Source of fertilizers available for							
locally grown crops							
Poultry dropping	49.4	23.5	17.6	9.5	3.84	0.55	$3^{\rm rd}$
Compost manure	53.0	27.1	17.7	2.2	3.88	0.52	2^{nd}
Green manure	57.7	20.0	18.8	3.5	2.50	0.69	4^{th}
NPK fertilizer	51.8	18.7	16.5	13.0	4.11	0.35	1^{st}

Keywords: Always, O = Occasionally, S = Sometimes, N = never, \bar{X} = mean, SD = standard deviation (Source: Field survey, 2017)

Table 3: Perception of farmers on the use of pesticides and fertilizers for locally grown crops

Farmers perception	SA	A	D	SD	Mean	SD	Rank
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(\overline{x})		
Agrochemicals are more beneficial	22.9	28.2	30.6	8.3	3.86	0.49	1 st
Agrochemical are extremely expensive	16.5	16.5	36.6	30.4	3.76	0.55	2 nd
Agrochemical are very expensive	20.1	18.7	27.1	34.1	3.58	0.46	3^{rd}
Agrochemical are very cheap	6.2	11.6	65.7	16.5	3.20	0.72	4 th
Farmers opinion about the effects of	pesticides						
Water pollution	59.5	24	10.5	6.0	4.25	0.45	1 st
Harmful to farm labour (human)	22.5	18.6	32.7	26.2	3.89	0.39	2^{nd}
Air pollution	6.7	12.1	60.5	20.7	1.52	0.37	6 th
Harmful to other persons	56.8	20.7	19.0	3.5	2.61	0.65	5 th
Crop pollution	22.5	18.4	25.1	34.0	3.50	0.29	3 rd
Harmful to animals	28.9	34	24.1	13.0	3.25	0.42	4 th
Reduction of crop yield	6.5	11.4	62.0	20.1	1.00	0.95	7 th

Quality of pesticides and fertilizers used Used recommended quantity 42.3 22.1 33.0 2.3 4.06 0.52 1^{st} 2^{nd} Used more than recommended 50.8 27.6 18.0 3.6 2.76 0.42 quantity 3^{rd} Used less than recommended 36.2 30.6 20.5 12.7 2.50 0.38 quantity

Keywords: SA = strongly agree, A = agree, D= disagree, SD= strongly disagree, SD= standard deviation (Source: Field survey, 2017)

Table 4: Factors affecting the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the production of locally grown crops

variables(4)(3)(2)(1)Adverse effect of agrochemicalsEnvironmental pollution29.434.130.65.9Killing of non-target species53.027.017.62.4Reduction of crop yield63.623.511.71.2Leftover of agrochemical solutionsStored and used for another application	(□) 4.52 0.4 3.95 0.58	1 1 st
Environmental pollution 29.4 34.1 30.6 5.9 Killing of non-target species 53.0 27.0 17.6 2.4 Reduction of crop yield 63.6 23.5 11.7 1.2 Leftover of agrochemical solutions Stored and used for another 60 23.5 10.6 5		1 1 st
Killing of non-target species 53.0 27.0 17.6 2.4 Reduction of crop yield 63.6 23.5 11.7 1.2 Leftover of agrochemical solutions Stored and used for another 60 23.5 10.6 5		1 1 st
Reduction of crop yield 63.6 23.5 11.7 1.2 Leftover of agrochemical solutions Stored and used for another 60 23.5 10.6 5	3.95 0.58	
Leftover of agrochemical solutions Stored and used for another 60 23.5 10.6 5		8 2 nd
Stored and used for another 60 23.5 10.6 5	2.51 0.49	9 3 rd
annlication	.9 4.25 0.50	6 1 st
Pour into bushes/river/stream 18.0 22.80 26.1 33.0	3.05 0.39	9 2 nd
Sales to other farmers 60.8 26.3 10.2 2.7	2.50 0.77	2 3 rd
Apply even though it is not needed 45.9 32.3 18.3 3.5	4.05 0.45	5 4 th
Disposed on the soil 10.0 10.8 64.7 14.5	1.75 0.73	5 5 th
Disposal of empty agrochemical containers		
Use them for household purpose 32.9 36.5 24.7 5	.9 2.1 0.7	1 4 th
Buried in the soil 4.8 15.3 56.5 23.4	4.0 0.38	8 2 nd
Left in the nearby farm 40.5 35.3 23.0 1.2	4.11 0.47	2 1 st
50.0 23.9 17.1 9.00 Burnt	4.11 0.42	

Keywords: SA = strongly agree, A = agree, D= disagree, SD= strongly disagree, SD= standard deviation (Source: Field survey, 2017).

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that majority of the respondents (66.67%) were males with farming experience raging from 31-40 years (38.89%), cultivated 1-2 hectares of farmland (54.44%). The study also concluded that NPK fertilizer, compost

manure and poultry dropping were the major source of fertility available for locally grown crops, also herbicides, insecticides and bactericides were major pesticides available for crops in the study area.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following policy recommendations were preferred.

- Human capacity development should be encouraged, by training farmers on the efficient and safe use of agro-chemicals through improved extension service systems.
- Fertilizers and pesticides should be subsidized, affordable andavailable to the farmers.
- Containers of agro-chemicals should be properly disposed and managed to reduce environmental pollution.
- That a greater assent should be given to agricultural extension services through proper funding and provision of logistics support such as fertilizers, pesticides, new crops varieties and credit facilities for dissemination to farmers in the study area.

REFERENCES

- Adetunji, M. O. (2010). Factors affecting the use of organic fertilizers among small scale farmers in Ogbomoso agricultural zone, Oyo State, Nigeria. In: Olabiyi T.et al. editors. Proceedings of the first technical workshop on Organic Agriculture. Nigerian Organic agriculture Network, 62-70.
- Carolyn, R. (2013). National pesticide applicator certification core manual national association of state departments of agriculture research foundation, Washington, Pp.6-8.
- Effiong, J. and Asikong, A. B. (2013).Mid-term assessment of Fadama III Development Projects in Cross River State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Science*, 12(1): 6-7.
- Effiong, J. B. and Effiong, G. B. (2015). Adoption of improved rubber production technologies by farmers in AkwaIbom State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Science, Nigeria*. 14(1): 39-43.
- Effiong, J. B., Ijioma, J. C. and Effiong, M. O. (2016). Endogenous determinants of adoption of improved rubber production technologies among farmers in Akwalbom State, Nigeria. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology. Vol. 8, issue 4, pp. 2322-7025.
- Jeong, H. and Forster, L. (2003). Empirical investigation of agricultural externalities: effects of pesticide use and tillage system on surface water. Department of Agricultural, Environmental and Development Economics. The Ohio State University, Working Paper: Pp. 30-32.
- National Population Commission (NPC) (2006). Nigeria's National Census. Abuja, Nigeria.

Williams, D. L. and Wise, K. L. (2006).Perception of lowa secondary school agricultural education teachers and students regarding sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, Vol. 38, No2 Pp 14-21.